Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/579,872	ALBRECHT, JEFFREY STEVEN1
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Milan S Kapadia	2144
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	, PTO personnel):	
(1) Milan S Kapadia.	(3)Richard Martinelli (Reg. NO. 52,003)	
(2) Joseph Thomas.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2004.		OOOD LITHE COMMISSION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conferenc c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applica		ntative] Impala Thore
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Y If Yes, brief description:	, —	JOSEPH THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
Claim(s) discussed: land 40 and	1 all of 12001	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bro</u> .	(6, 161,095)	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reach	ed. g)⊡ was not reached. I	n)MN/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the greached, or any other comments:		eed to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the a allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, wher allowable is available, a summary thereof must be at	e no copy of the amendments	•

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant's representative and Examiner disrussed claim language that was believed to set a round prior at of record. Specifitt specifically, language emphasizing the unforseen self-administration of the medical treatment was disrussed. In light of such amendments, Examiner's will reconsider the rejections based on Biowin

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required